

1
2
3
4 MELVIN SMITH,
5 Plaintiff,
6 v.
7 WELLS FARGO BANK, N.A.,
8 Defendant.

9 Case No. 15-cv-00639-HSG
10
11

12
13 **ORDER DETERMINING CASES ARE
14 NOT RELATED**
15

16 Re: Dkt. No. 36
17
18

19 Before the Court is a *sua sponte* judicial referral for the purpose of determining whether
20 *Smith v. Wells Fargo Bank, N.A. et al*, numbered 15-cv-01779-YGR, is related to the above-
21 captioned matter. The time to file an opposition or statement of support has passed.

22 The Court finds that these cases are not related under Civil Local Rule 3-12(a)(2), which
23 provides that cases are related if “[i]t appears likely that there will be an unduly burdensome
24 duplication of labor and expense or conflicting results if the cases are conducted before different
25 Judges.” Because the above-captioned matter was terminated on April 24, 2015 prior to the
26 issuance of any substantive rulings by this Court, there will be no duplication of labor and expense
27 or risk of conflicting results if the cases are conducted before different judges.

28 **IT IS SO ORDERED.**

Dated: 10/28/2015


24 HAYWOOD S. GILLIAM, JR.
25 United States District Judge
26
27
28